## Applicant(s) Application No. HOLTZ ET AL. 09/482.683 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2173 Ba Huynh All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Ba Huynh. (2) Kendrick P. Patterson. Date of Interview: ///5/03 Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: . . Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was in the specification language "segment stamps".

reached, or any other comments: per Mr. Patterson, support for the claim limitation "segment delimiter" can be found

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

asignature, if required